

Brown Ronnette (DOS)

From: Lawrence Mark (DOS)
Sent: Thursday, September 01, 2011 2:51 PM
To: Brown Ronnette (DOS)
Subject: FW: Delaware PSC Dkt. No. 11-362 (Delmarva-Qualified Fuel Cell Provider et al.): Petition to Intervene & Current Service List
Attachments: PSCNo.11-362.CRI Petition To Intervene.pdf; 11-362 ServiceList 08-25-11.docx

Please file email and both attachments in EZ file.

From: Lawrence Mark (DOS)
Sent: Thursday, September 01, 2011 1:55 PM
To: Iorii Regina (DOS); O'Brien William (DOS); Price Ruth (DOS); Neilson Kevin S (DOS); todd.goodman@pepcoholdings.com; heather.hall@pepcoholdings.com; len.beck@pepcoholdings.com; kenton@rlf.com; Barry Sheingold; Sheehy Michael (DOS); Walker Kent (DOJ); Maucher Andrea (DOS); Noyes Thomas G. (DNREC); Coomes, Todd A.; josh.richman@bloomenergy.com; marty.collins@bloomenergy.com
Cc: Lawrence Mark (DOS); Hall Monica L (DOS)
Subject: Delaware PSC Dkt. No. 11-362 (Delmarva-Qualified Fuel Cell Provider et al.): Petition to Intervene & Current Service List

Dear All: Attached is the Petition to Intervene filed today by the Caesar Rodney Institute, Center for Energy Competitiveness. Please advise by 5 p.m. on Tuesday Sept. 6, 2011 if you object to the proposed intervention. If you do not timely object by that time, I will assume that you do not oppose the intervention.

I also attach the current Service List.

Mark Lawrence
Hearing Examiner
Delaware Public Service Commission
861 Silver Lake Blvd.
Cannon Building, Suite 100
Dover, DE 19904
Tel: (302) 736-7540
Fax: (302) 739-4849
Email: mark.lawrence@state.de.us



ML

Caesar Rodney Institute
Center for Energy Competitiveness
PO Box 795
Dover, DE 19903
WWW.CaesarRodney.org

RECEIVED

2011 SEP 1 AM 11 33

Public Service Commission
861 Silver Lake Blvd.
Cannon Building, Suite 100
Dover, DE 19904

DELAWARE P.S.C.

8/31/2011

Attn: PSC Docket No. 11-362

Petition to Intervene in PSC Docket 11-362, Delmarva Power Qualified Fuel Cell Provider Project Tariffs

1. David T. Stevenson, Director Center for Energy Competitiveness
Caesar Rodney Institute
PO Box 795
Dover, DE 19903
Phone: 302-236-2050
Fax: 302-645-9017
e-mail: DavidStevenson@CaesarRodney.org
2. Petitioner has undertaken extensive research and publication of information on Delaware energy policy to ensure Delaware citizens have competitive electricity rates. Competitive rates are essential to the economic well being of our citizens.
3. Petitioner is presenting unique testimony based on intimate knowledge of electric generation costs gained from the studies mentioned above, as an intervener in the 2010 Delmarva Power Integrated Resource Plan, and as consultant to certain legislators during the legislative process of adopting the Fuel Cell Act.
4. Petitioner requests the following relief:
 - a. Based on the following recommendations the petitioner expects the Qualified Fuel Cell Provider Project Tariff application will be out of compliance with the Fuel Cell Act requiring rejection of the Application or modification reducing the Tariff by one third or by cutting the first phase of the 30 MW Bloom Project in half or some combination.
 - b. Calculate the potential fuel cell tariff cost impact to electric consumers using more reasonable assumptions than used in the "Expected Case" including:
 - i. use the electricity cost projections from the 2011 U.S Energy Information Agency Market Outlook (1.9%/year growth) instead of 4.5%/year and assume adequate generation capacity in Delaware
 - ii. use the current spot market price of \$100.00 for the future value of Solar Renewable Energy Credits and \$1.50 for Renewable Energy Credits instead of \$205.32 and \$25.57
 - iii. Add the cost impact of the risk from natural gas "banking" of \$.10/month
 - c. Release spread sheet calculations used to determine expected tariff costs and calculate the cost impact of a reduced rate of increase for electric prices



Caesar Rodney Institute
Center for Energy Competitiveness
PO Box 795
Dover, DE 19903
WWW.CaesarRodney.org

- d. Cap Bloom Project Company revenues at the projected megawatt-hour production times any approved tariff. Any additional revenues from "Banking", higher electric generation, or lower cost of replacement fuel cells to be used to reduce customer cost impact
 - e. Extend the double count of Renewable Energy Credits approved by Secretary O'Mara from the first fifteen years to the entire term of the tariff
 - f. The pollution savings from the 30 megawatt fuel cell plant are negligible and comparable to a lower cost conventional natural gas plant of the same size so should not be considered in the tariff approval process
 - g. The ability of Bloom Servers to burn renewable fuels and to act as a distributed source of power should not enter into the tariff approval process as the proposed facility will burn natural gas and be set up as a central power plant.
5. In recognition of the tight timeline requested for application approval we are submitting our comments at the same time as this petition. We reserve the right to make additional comments if new information becomes available.

Your acceptance of Caesar Rodney Institute as a Petitioner is hereby formally requested. In the event that our request is denied, please provide a full explanation for the basis of the denial.

Respectfully submitted,

David T. Stevenson

SERVICE LIST
PSC DOCKET NO. 11-362
Qualified Fuel Cell Provider Project Tariffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing PUBLIC COMMENTS OF THE CAESAR RODNEY INSTITUTE ON DELMARVA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY'S APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF I. ELECTRIC TARIFF -- SERVICE CLASSIFICATION QFCP-RC AND II. GAS TARIFF -- SERVICE CLASSIFICATION LVG-RC has been served upon the following via email along with the original and ten (10) copies to Alisa Bentley:

Alisa Bentley, Secretary to the Commission
Deputy Attorney General
Department of Justice
820 N. French Street, 6th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
Tel. 302-577-8306
Fax: 302-577-6630
E-Mail: alisa.bentley@state.de.us

Kent Walker, Esquire,
Delaware Public Service Commission
861 Silver Lake Blvd., Suite 100
Dover, Delaware 19904
Tele: 302-736-7511
Fax: 302-739-4849
E-mail: kent.walker@state.de.us

Regina A. Iorii, Esquire
Deputy Attorney General - Civil
Public Service Commission
Elbert N. Carvel State Office Building
820 N. French Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
Telephone: (302) 577-8159 (Wilmington)
(302) 736-7510 (Dover)
E-mail: regina.iorii@state.de.us

Michael Sheehy, Public Advocate
Division of the Public Advocate
820 N. French Street, 4th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
Phone: 302-577-5077
Sheehy: 302-577-5078
Williams: 302-577-5076
Fax: 302-577-5076
E-Mail: michael.sheehy@state.de.us;
kishon.williams@state.de.us

Todd L. Goodman, Esquire
Associate General Counsel
[Claudine B. Vazquez-Legal Assistant]
Pepco Holdings Inc., Legal Services
500 North Wakefield Drive
Mailstop 92DC42
Newark, DE 19702
Goodman Phone: 302-429-3786
Vazquez Phone: 302-429-3311
e-mail: todd.goodman@pepcoholdings.com

The Honorable Collin P O'Mara
Cabinet Secretary
Department of Natural Resource and Environmental Control
Richardson & Robbins Building
89 Kings Highway
Dover, DE 19901
302-739-9000
e-mail: Collin.omara@state.de.us

Glenn C. Kenton, Attorney Delmarva Power & Light Company
Richards Layton & Finger
One Rodney Square
920 North King Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
e-mail: Kenton@rlf.com
Phone: 302-651-7700
FAX: 302-651-7701

Joshua Richman, Vice President of Business Development
Bloom Energy Corp.
1299 Orleans Dr.
Sunnyvale, CA 94089
e-mail: Josh.Richman@bloomenergy.com

By: David T. Stevenson

Director, Center for Energy Competitiveness

Caesar Rodney Institute

PO Box 795

Dover, DE 19903-0795

(302) 734-2700

(302) 734-2702 (fax)

DavidStevenson@CaesraRodney.org

Comments on Fuel Cell Tariff Docket 11-362

The proposed fuel cell tariff may cost over three times more than advertised and add \$750 million to electric consumer bills over the life of the contract. Public Service Commission approval of the proposed fuel cell tariff application will require acceptance of unrealistic assumptions that understate the cost to electric consumers. More realistic assumptions show the added consumer cost of \$3.50/megawatt-hour will exceed the limit set in the fuel cell legislation of \$2.70/megawatt-hour based upon the cost premium of offshore wind. Large electric users could pay \$100,000 more annually. This added cost will place manufacturers in Delaware at a competitive disadvantage with other states. Since electricity consumers are being asked to assume the entire risk of the fuel cell project, conservative assumptions should be used so they know the extent of that risk.

We estimate meeting the \$1/megawatt-hour target discussed in legislative negotiations will require cutting the proposed fuel cell plant in half to 15 megawatts or reducing the tariff by about a third to \$115/Megawatt-hour or a combination of both. Meeting the \$1/megawatt-hour target will still add an average \$10 million/year to electric bills. Bloom would have two years to improve their product and re-apply for additional capacity at a lower tariff without disrupting the current 5 MW capacity addition per quarter.

The Caesar Rodney Institute does not support the tendency of state energy legislation to shift the impact and risk of high cost, unreliable renewable power projects to electric consumers. However, CRI has been cautiously supportive of the economic development of Bloom Energy fuel cells pending the release of additional information included in this docket. Our study of the docket shows the assumptions used provide an unacceptable risk of higher electric bills to consumers. Our concerns are discussed below. We have also made several suggestions to minimize the cost to consumers.

Bloom Energy offers economic development potential for Delaware which could add 1500 jobs to the state. The technology is promising enough to eventually offer competitively priced distributed electric power to avoid 10% of the power produced being lost to transmission. The current cost of fuel cell power, at nearly \$.17/kilowatt-hour, is almost twice the cost of conventional power generation but the cost is partially offset by reducing the need for even more expensive solar power at \$.35 to .45/kilowatt-hour. Fuel cells also operate 97% of the time as opposed to intermittent solar and wind power operating at 14% and 25% of the time respectively.

The proposed 30 megawatt fuel cell plant will operate on natural gas. This is the first time the state has recognized the value of a conventional fuel source in reducing pollution. This opens the way for a transition to a Clean Energy Standard that could include natural gas, nuclear, and energy efficiency in our efforts to have reliable, affordable, clean energy. This may be the most important outcome of the Bloom project.

Recommendations

- 1) Calculate the potential fuel cell tariff cost to electric consumers using more reasonable assumptions
 - a) Use the electricity cost projections from the 2011 U.S Energy Information Agency Market Outlook (1.9%/year growth) instead of the higher estimates used in the fuel cell docket "Expected Case" (4.5%/year growth). This raises levelized customer impact by \$1/month to both the fuel cell and offshore wind projects. Calculations should include adequate generation capacity in Delaware.
 - b) Use the current spot market price (\$100) for the future value of Solar Renewable Energy Credits and \$1.50 for Renewable Energy Credits. Using data presented in the Part 2 of the Application, this raises levelized customer impact to \$2.41/month and exceeds the offshore wind impact of \$1.70/month thus exceeding the allowable cost limit.
 - c) Add the cost impact of the risk from natural gas "banking" to the monthly "Expected" fuel cell case rate which raises the levelized customer impact another \$.10/month.
- 2) Release spread sheet calculations used to determine expected tariff costs and calculate the cost impact of a reduced rate of increase for electric prices
- 3) Cap Bloom Project Company revenues at the projected megawatt-hour production times any approved tariff
 - a) Any additional revenue from natural gas "banking" would be deducted from this cap
 - b) Any additional revenue from production above the current projection would be deducted from this cap whether from accelerated project start up, better than expected efficiency (heat rate), improved efficiency from future generation replacement fuel cells, or other unanticipated source.
 - c) A specific fuel cell replacement cost estimate applied to the tariff should be adjusted by the actual cost. We anticipate future fuel cell generations will be less expensive because of process improvements and economies of scale
- 4) Extend the double count of Renewable Energy Credits approved by Secretary O'Mara from the first fifteen years to the entire term of the tariff
- 5) The pollution savings from the 30 megawatt fuel cell plant are negligible and comparable to a lower cost conventional natural gas plant of the same size so should not be considered in the tariff approval process
- 6) The 30 megawatt fuel cell plant, fueled by natural gas and set up as a central power plant, will have transmission losses similar to a conventional power plant and lower efficiency (heat rate of 7550 compared to 7100 used in Part 2 of Application). The ability of Bloom Servers to burn renewable fuels and to act as a distributed source of power should not enter into the tariff approval process.

Discussion

Projected increase in electric rates

Part 2 of the application provides the assumptions used to calculate the cost and offsets of the fuel cell tariff. The expected increase in electricity wholesale price over the 21 year term averages 4.5 % compared to 1.9% used over the same period in the 2011 Market Outlook Report published by the U.S Energy Information Agency in March of this year. The higher rate makes the fuel cell tariff look more attractive. The primary driver of the higher rates in Part 2 is the expectation new EPA environmental regulations on coal plants will cause rapid price escalations. Coal provides about 43% of electric generation capacity in the U.S. and the regulations would cause a rapid increase in prices.

The key question is whether the regulations are implemented as planned and how rapidly the electric industry responds by switching to lower cost natural gas. The 1990 Clean Air Act called for a 90% reduction in air pollution over a twenty year period. The goal was exceeded and the cost was about \$20 billion. The next 5% reduction proposed will be required in only three to five years and may cost over \$300 billion. The benefits of the new regulations are in doubt. The Clean Air Task Force, an activist group, estimates hospital visits for respiratory and cardiovascular events would drop only about 1% even if all power plant pollution went to zero. As a result the U.S. House of Representatives has already passed a budget that prohibits the EPA from spending money to implement the new regulations. The 2011 Market Outlook expected coals share of electric generation to fall to 43% by 2035 and the natural gas share to increase to 25%. These share levels are now expected to be met in 2012! The impact of potential EPA regulations falls rapidly as older coal plants are retired.

Delaware has paid a premium price in capacity charges because we import 60% of our power and add to grid congestion. Delaware needs about 1400 MW of added capacity to meet our needs and to maintain a 15.5% reserve margin. Several projects may help close that gap and reduce capacity charges such as 618 MW being considered by Calpine for Dover and 287 MW being brought on line by PBF Energy at the Delaware City Refinery. The PSC staff has asked Delmarva to consider adding 450 MW and the fuel cell project might eventually go to 50 MW. If not already done so, the "Expected Case" should assume adequate capacity in Delaware.

We strongly recommend the PSC require the use of the lower rate of electric price increases to measure the tariff cost impact on consumers.

Renewable Energy Credit price forecasts

The "Expected Case" assumes the price for regular Renewable Energy Credits (REC) will be \$25.57 and for Solar Renewable Energy Credits (SREC) will be \$205.32. The 2010 Delmarva Power Integrated Resource Plan, still under consideration by the PSC, uses rates of \$18.29 and \$164.43. The discussion in Part 2 of the fuel cell application indicates the 25% to 35% reduction in SREC requirements allowed by the tariff will work to reduce prices as would be expected.

Even before the fuel cell announcement spot market prices had dropped dramatically to \$1.50 for REC's and \$100 for SREC's because of increased supply from new large scale wind and solar farms. Solar panel prices came down 42% in 2011 because of a combination of too much solar panel production capacity in China and crashing demand in Europe as governments cut subsidies. Subsidies remain high in the U.S. and lower panel prices have led to an increased number of new solar farms such as the Dover Sun Park. Therefore, solar credits are flooding the market and the price for credits has dropped from about \$300 to \$100 each. With the reduced SREC requirements of the fuel cell project Delmarva has already covered 62% of its SREC needs through 2016. Even with subsidy reductions Europe is still exceeding solar installation targets. There is no obvious rational to expect an increase in price from the current spot market price let alone the increase from the IRP price assumptions.

Part 2 calculates the impact from lower REC prices. Using the prices from the IRP increases the impact of the fuel cell tariff by \$.79/month to \$1.79. The current spot market price increases the tariff impact by \$1.41/month to \$2.41. Either case exceeds the cost of the offshore wind tariff which is the maximum allowed by the "ACT TO AMEND TITLE 26 OF THE DELAWARE CODE RELATING TO DELAWARE'S RENEWABLE ENERGY PORTFOLIO STANDARDS AND DELAWARE-MANUFACTURED FUEL CELLS", which indicates that the tariff may not result in costs to consumers, on a levelized basis at the time of Commission approval, which exceed the highest price resource in Delmarva's portfolio of renewable options as of January 1, 2011. Offshore wind is the highest cost option at \$1.70/month.

An Administrative Fixed Price for SREC's has been suggested for small Tier 1 and 2 generating facilities by the Renewable Energy Task Force but is not yet approved and should not be approved for the same reasons discussed here. Even if approved, most SREC's will come from Tier 3 and 4 projects not covered by the Administrative Price. Furthermore, the Renewable Portfolio Standard only extends to 2025 so no SREC value should be assigned beyond that date.

We strongly recommend the PSC require the use of the current lower spot market REC and SREC prices to measure the tariff cost impact on consumers.

Inclusion of natural gas "banking" costs in the "Expected Case"

If the Bloom project uses more or less natural gas than expected in a given month they have the right to use a banking mechanism. In some situations Bloom could gain revenue and the added risk to consumers of higher costs was calculated in the application to be from -.01/month to +.10/month. We strongly recommend the PSC require the use of the higher "banking" cost to measure the tariff cost impact on consumers.

Release of ICF spread sheet details

The cost calculations have been done by a consultant, ICF International, who supplied information on the assumptions used and summary results in Part 2 of the Fuel Cell Tariff Application. However, no detailed spread sheet has been supplied to allow a thorough analysis of the cost impact. We recommend more information be provided publically of costs calculations by year. We also recommend ICF conduct a sensitivity analysis with the lower rate of increase of electricity prices discussed above.

Use any additional Bloom Project Company revenues to reduce consumer impact

The cost to consumers will be based on the tariff in \$/MWh applied to an expected twenty year electricity production rate of 5.2 million MWh, with natural gas costs added, and Bloom revenue from electricity production subtracted. Presumably, Bloom will keep the benefit of any lower than expected cost of construction and any additional revenue from additional power production. Since consumers are carrying all the risk of the project they should benefit from any gains.

For example, Bloom is assuming an average efficiency in converting natural gas to electricity of 50% and a 96% capacity factor. In their literature they expect 52% efficiency and field experience so far has shown a 99% capacity factor. They expect to have to replace the fuel cells every 4 to 5 years or three to four times over the life of the project. The great hope with Bloom is the fuel cells will become less expensive over time and may become more efficient and have a longer life. Fewer cell replacements and lower cell cost will lower the total cost of the project. More efficient cells will boost output and provide higher offsetting revenue to the tariff. We recommend these improvements be used to reduce the cost impact on consumers.

Extend double counting of REC's for the entire term of the contract

The Fuel Cell Act gave the Secretary of DNREC the authority to allow double counting of REC's generated by the fuel cell project. Secretary O'Mara did so for 15 years of the 21 years expected project life to reduce the cost impact on consumers. We recommend extending the double counting for the entire project life to further reduce consumer impact.

Give no credit to the project for pollution reduction or transmission efficiency

Bloom fuel cells can be used for distributed power, can use bio-fuels, and do reduce pollution compared to coal powered electric generation. However, the proposed facility will use natural gas and will be built as a central power plant. Delmarva could just as easily build a conventional natural gas plant and match the same benefits without the need for a special tariff. In fact, for the same investment, a much larger conventional plant could be built with a much greater reduction of pollution compared to coal than the fuel cell plant. Small conventional generators are also available for distributed power. We recommend the PSC give no weight to the claimed pollution reduction or transmission savings of the technology.

SERVICE LIST
Delmarva Power & Light Company

PSC Docket No. 11-362
Effective 08/25/11

Mark Lawrence
Hearing Examiner
Delaware Public Service Commission
861 Silver Lake Blvd, Suite 100
Dover, DE 19904
Tel: 302-736-7540
Fax: 302-739-4849
Email: mark.lawrence@state.de.us

Ruth Ann Price
Senior Hearing Examiner
Delaware Public Service Commission
861 Silver Lake Blvd, Suite 100
Dover, DE 19904
Tel: 302-577-5014 (Wilmington)
Tel: 302-736-7534 (Dover)
Fax: 302-739-4849 (Dover)
Email: ruth.price@state.de.us

Regina Iorii, Esq.
Deputy Attorney General
Delaware Public Service Commission
820 North French Street, 6th Floor
Mail Stop C600
Wilmington, DE 19801
Tel: 302-577-8159 (Wilmington)
Fax: 302-739-4849 (Dover)
Email: regina.iorii@state.de.us

William O'Brien
Executive Director
Delaware Public Service Commission
861 Silverlake Blvd, Suite 100
Dover, DE 19904
Tel: 302-736-7516
Fax: 302-739-4849
Email: william.obrien@state.de.us

Kevin Neilson
Regulatory Policy Administrator
Delaware Public Service Commission
861 Silver Lake Blvd, Suite 100
Dover, DE 19904
Tel: 302-736-7514
Fax: 302-739-4849
Email: kevin.neilson@state.de.us

Todd Goodman, Esq.
Associate General Counsel
Pepco Holdings, Inc. Legal Services
500 North Wakefield Drive
Mail Stop 92 DC 42
Newark, DE 19702
Tel: 302-429-3786
Fax: 302-429-3801
Email: todd.goodman@pepcoholdings.com

Heather Hall
Regulatory Affairs
Delmarva Power & Light Company
P.O. Box 9239
Mail Stop 79 NC 59
Newark, DE 19714-9239
Tel: 302-454-4828
Fax: 302-454-4440
Email: heather.hall@pepcoholdings.com

Leonard J. Beck
Regulatory Affairs
Delmarva Power & Light Company
P.O. Box 9239
Mail Stop 79 NC 59
Newark, DE 19714-9239
Tel: 302-454-4839
Fax: 302-354-8010
Email: len.beck@pepcoholdings.com

Glenn C. Kenton, Esq.
Delmarva Counsel
Richards, Layton & Finger
One Rodney Square
Wilmington, DE 19801
Tel: 302-651-7726
Fax: 302-498-7507
Email: kenton@rlf.com

SERVICE LIST
Delmarva Power & Light Company

PSC Docket No. 11-362
Effective 08/25/11

Staff Consultant
Barry J. Sheingold
New Energy Opportunities, Inc.
10 Speen Street
Framingham, MA 01701
Tel: 508-665-5888
Email: bjs@newenergyopps.com

Michael Sheehy
Public Advocate
Division of the Public Advocate
820 North French Street, 4th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
Tel: 302-577-5078
Fax: 302-577-3297
Email: michael.sheehy@state.de.us

Kent Walker, Esq.
Deputy Attorney General
Division of the Public Advocate
820 North French Street, 6th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
Tel: 302-577-8306
Fax: 302-577-6630
Email: kent.walker@state.de.us

Andrea Maucher, Public Utilities Analyst
Division of the Public Advocate
John G. Townsend Building
401 Federal Street, Suite 3
Dover, Delaware 19901
Phone: 302-857-4620
Fax: 302-739-3811
Email: andrea.maucher@state.de.us

Thomas G. Noyes
Division of Energy & Climate
Delaware Department of Natural Resources
and Environmental Control
1203 College Park Drive, Suite 101
Dover, DE, 19904
Tel: 302-735-3356
Fax: 302-739-1840
Email: thomas.noyes.@state.de.us

Todd Coomes, Esq.
Delmarva Counsel
Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A.
One Rodney Square
Wilmington, DE 19801
Tel: 302-651-7507
Fax: 302-498-7507
Email: coomes@rlf.com

Joshua Richman
Vice President
Bloom Energy Corporation
1299 Orleans Drive
Sunnyvale, CA 94089
Tel: 408-543-1547
Email: josh.richman@bloomenergy.com

Marty Collins
VP, Corporate Development
Bloom Energy Corporation
1299 Orleans Drive
Sunnyvale, CA 94089
Tel: 408-543-1749
Email: marty.collins@bloomenergy.com

